



QUESTIONNAIRE FOR SOUTH-SOUTH COOPERATION

This questionnaire is intended to solicit information about the progress made since 2008 and focuses in particular on the outputs and outcomes of trade-related co-operation programmes.

For further details or additional forms please visit www.oecd.org/dac/aft/questionnaire or contact the secretariats of the OECD (aft.monitoring@oecd.org) or the WTO (aft.monitoring@wto.org).

COUNTRY:	BRA	ZIL

MINISTRY/AGENCY: BRAZILIAN COOPERATION AGENCY

TRADE RELATED COOPERATION POLI	CY				
1. DID YOUR TRADE RELATED CO	OPERATION I	POLICIES CHA	NGE SINCE 20	0082	
YES			NO .		
1.1 If YES, what were the driving for	ces behind th	ese changes?			
	MOST IMPORTANT	IMPORTANT	LESS IMPORTANT	NOT IMPORTANT	NOT
The economic crisis					100000 - 100000 - 10000 - 10000 - 10000 - 10000 - 10000 - 10000 - 10000 - 100000 - 10000 - 10000 - 10000 - 10000 - 10000 - 10000 - 10000 - 100
Changed development priorities in partner countries strategies					
Changed priorities in strategies from regional bodies					
Change of national leadership				The state of the s	П
Change in development priorities					
New approaches, procedures and instruments					
More opportunities for triangular co-operation					
Other				П	П
Please specify:	Company of the Compan	men ng kalinda alam mangung mangung bilaka karampagang gi dalah	# (#*#) * ****		
1.2 If YES, please elaborate on what	these change	s are:		ter that tarribus is	
	MOST IMPORTANT	1MPORTANT	LESS IMPORTANT	NOT IMPORTANT	NOT SURE
New themes:			the same of the sa		
Greater focus on results					П
Climate change and green growth					П
Gender equality					
The geographic focus					
The regional dimension	П	П			

Othe	ng out of the trade related eration policy					
participant control						
Pleas	e specify:	1000			all at the more the administration of commence and the september of	
0000			The second second second second second second second	The state of the s	THE PERSON NAMED IN COMPANY OF THE PARTY OF	THE RESERVE OF SHORES
2.	LOOKING AHEAD TO 2013, IS YO	OUR GOVERN	MENT PLAN	NING A REVIS	SION OF ITS	
	APPROACH TO TRADE RELATED	COOPERATIO	DN?		7	
2.1	YES ₹ If YES, please rate the changes ye	assesses for		NO [and the second second second second	B-1
	ij 123, pieuse rute the changes y	MOST		J: LESS	NOT	
	en e	IMPORTANT	IMPORTANT	IMPORTANT	NOT IMPORTANT	SURE
New	themes:	The second control to the control of the second con-			The CAM control address on the second control of	Padro market in the same
• Gre	eater focus on results					
	mate change and green growth					
• Ge	nder equality		al.			
The g	eographic focus					
The r	egional dimension					
	ng out of the trade related eration policy		MANUFACTURE OF THE MANUFACTURE OF THE			
Other		П	П	П		
Pleas	e specify:		11112		late a company of the same	
, , , ,						
7003					to have a service and the second property of the second	* *
	URCES					
RESO		COUNTRIES F	OR YOUR TR	ADE RELATED) COOPERATIO	ON .
RESO	HAS DEMAND FROM PARTNER (CHANGED SINCE 2008?	10 2 <u>000</u> - 20 de en en en			<u></u>	
RESO	HAS DEMAND FROM PARTNER OF CHANGED SINCE 2008? FICANTLY INCREASED INCREASED INCREASED INCREASED	escribe from	E/NO CHANGE	DECLINE	ED NOTS	SURE
RESO 3. SIGNII	HAS DEMAND FROM PARTNER OF CHANGED SINCE 2008? FICANTLY INCREASED INCREASED INCREASED INCREASED	escribe from	E/NO CHANGE	DECLINE	ED NOTS	SURE
RESO 3. SIGNII	HAS DEMAND FROM PARTNER (CHANGED SINCE 2008? FICANTLY INCREASED INCREASED	escribe from	E/NO CHANGE	DECLINE	ED NOTS	SURE
RESO 3. SIGNII	HAS DEMAND FROM PARTNER OF CHANGED SINCE 2008? FICANTLY INCREASED INCREASED INCREASED INCREASED	lescribe from	E/NO CHANGE which countri	DECLINE	ED NOTS	SURE
RESO 3. SIGNII 3.1	HAS DEMAND FROM PARTNER OF CHANGED SINCE 2008? FICANTLY INCREASED	LITTLE LITTLE PROPERTY AND TRADE RE	E/NO CHANGE which countri	DECLINE	e type of trade	SURE related
RESO 3. SIGNII 3.1	HAS DEMAND FROM PARTNER OF CHANGED SINCE 2008? FICANTLY INCREASED	LITTLE	E/NO CHANGE Which countri AGRIC LATED COOP	DECLINE DECLINE	e type of trade	SURE related
RESO 3. SIGNII	HAS DEMAND FROM PARTNER OF CHANGED SINCE 2008? FICANTLY INCREASED INCREASED If the demand increased, please of support requested: HAS THE DEMAND FOR REGIONAL CHANGED SINCE 2008? FICANTLY INCREASED INCREASED If the demand increased, please of the demand increased.	LITTLE LITTLE LESCRIBE THE RESCRIBE THE RESCRIPT THE RESC	E/NO CHANGE Which countri AGRIC LATED COOP /NO CHANGE	DECLINE DECLINE DECLINE DECLINE DECLINE	DGRAMMES NOT S NOT S NOT S A type of trade	related
RESO 3. SIGNII 3.1 SIGNII 4.1	HAS DEMAND FROM PARTNER OF CHANGED SINCE 2008? FICANTLY INCREASED INCREASED If the demand increased, please of support requested: HAS THE DEMAND FOR REGION CHANGED SINCE 2008? FICANTLY INCREASED INCREASED If the demand increased, please of requested: HAS THE VOLUME OF YOUR TRA	LITTLE PESCRIBE THE REPORT OF THE PESCRIBE THE RELATED	E/NO CHANGE Which countri AGRIC LATED COOP /NO CHANGE	DECLINE	ED NOTS E type of trade DGRAMMES NOTS lated support	related

DISTRIBUTED FOR ACCOUNTS

6.	DO YOU HAVE INDICATIVE F	ORWARD LOO	KING COOPERAT	ION PLANS?	
	YES 🔯		7	NO 🗆	
6.1.	If YES, do these plans contain	a specific share	e devoted to trade	related cooperat	ion?
	YES 🗌			NO M	Triben beneam
6.2	If YES, please specify:		No. 100 March	9- 49 1 Towns 44 to 10-11 198	
DELI	VERY	1. W. 180			
7.	HAS THERE BEEN PROGRESS AND PARTNER COUNTRIES?	IN STRENGTH	ENING DIALOGUI	E MECHANISMS E	BETWEEN YOU
SIGN	NIFICANTLY MODERATELY	T HARD	LY 🔲	NO 🔯	NOT CURE 🗆
7.1	If YES, please describe and ex	and the same of th			NOT SURE
7.2	Is the local private sector in p		s involved in these	e dialogues?	
		METIMES	RARELY/NEV		NOT CLIDE
7.3	Please elaborate on your exp	m minimum energy and a contract of	보고 그 이 없는 이 없는 것이 없는 것이 없는 것이 없는 것이 없다.		NOT SURE
-	- year cap		ic private sector I	ii south-south coo	peration:
		The second secon	A THEORY OF THE PARTY OF MANY OF MANY OF THE PARTY.		
8.	HAS MONITORING OF YOUR SINCE 2008?	TRADE RELAT	ED COOPERATIO	N PROGRAMMES	IMPROVED
SIGN	NIFICANTLY MOOERATELY [☐ HARD	LY []	NO 🗌	NOT SURE
3.1	If there have been improveme	ents, do you:	the state of the s	The second second second second	with the control of t
		ALWAYS	SOMETIMES	RARELY OR NEVER	NOT SURE
Jse o	own monitoring arrangements				
nvol	ve partner countries				П
Jse j	oint monitoring arrangements			Π	
3.2	Please elaborate on your expe	eriences with m	onitoring:		
		The second second second			e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e
S TR	ADE-RELATED COOPERATION	WORKING?			
9.	WITHIN YOUR TRADE RELAT CONSTITUTES SUCCESS, EITH THE PROJECTS AND PROGRA	IER AT THE LEV	ION ACTIVITIES, I	OO YOU DEFINE W Y ITSELF OR AT T	VHAT HE LEVEL OF
	YES 🔣	NO		NOT SU	RF 🗆
0.1	If YES, how do you define succ	ess of your trac	de related coopera	Professional Company of the Company	
		AT STATE OF THE STATE OF	IMPORTANT	LESS IMPORTANT	ALCOHOLOGICAL PROPERTY OF THE
	nced understanding of the role de in economic development				IMPORTANT
	ased profile of trade in opment strategies		. 🗖		
/lore	activities			П	П

Increased exports				П	i П
Increased trade					
Increased economic growth				П	
Reduced poverty		WZ.			
Greater environmental sustainability					
Greater gender equality					
Others				П	
Please define:	and the second personal residence of the second of the sec	TOTAL SEASON STANSONS	an agus ann, iniceann agus ta ann agus ar iniceann agus agus an an ann agus agus agus agus agus agus agus agus	permitted the state of the stat	
9.2 Please illus activities:	trate with example	s of both su	iccessful and uns	uccessful trade rela	ited cooperation
10. HOW DEPE PROGRAM	MES AND PROJECT	CCESS OF Y	PLEMENTARY P	OLICIES IN PARTN	ER COUNTRIES?
and the court of t	100000000000000000000000000000000000000		CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY OF		NOT SURE
partner cou	t, how do you rate intries for the succe	ess of your t	rade related coo	ving complementar peration activities?	y policies in
		PORTANT	IMPORTANT	LESS IMPORTANT	NOT IMPORTANT
Fiscal policies			arene Mariantina (a. 1. 1866 a 1864 a 1866 a 18		
Monetary policies]			
Labour market poli	cies]	Committee and the committee of the state of the committee		
Competition policie	es [The second secon	; <u> </u>	
Regulatory environ	ment]			
Governance					
COOPERAT	GOVERNMENT UN ION PROGRAMME	S OR PROJ	ECTS?	N OF ITS TRADE R	ELATED
YES 📗	The first section is a second section of the second section in the second section is a second section in the second section in the second section is a second section in the second section in the second section is a second section in the second section in the second section is a second section in the second section in the second section is a second section in the second section in the second section is a second section in the second section in the second section is a second section in the second section in the second section is a second section in the second section in the second section is a second section in the second section in the second section is a second section in the second section in the second section is a second section in the second section in the second section is a second section in the second section in the second section is a second section in the second section in the second section is a second section in the second section in the second section is a second section in the second section in the second section is a second section in the second section in the second section is a second section in the second section in the second section is a second section in the second section in the second section is a section in the second section in the section is a section in the section in the section in the section is a section in the section in the section in the section is a section in the section in the section in the section in the section is a section in the section is a section in the	and the second s	NO 🗌	and the second s	SURE
una project			e and above in the contract of the contract of the	de related coopera	tion programmes
YES [and the second second second second	NO 🗌	NOT	SURE
	hen is the evaluation		1.1		
2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
12. PLEASE IND TRADE RELA	ICATE THE MAIN C	HALLENGE N PROGRA	S YOUR GOVERN	NMENT FACED IN I	EVALUATING ITS
	VERY IMP	PORTANT	IMPORTANT	LESS IMPORTANT	NOT IMPORTANT
Difficulties in setting quantifiable objective					
Difficulties in establi a baseline	shing				

DUESTICATIONS FOR FORTHWELL COMMUNICATION

Difficulty in obtaining in-country data				
Absence of suitable indicators				
Budgetary constraints				
Ability of in-country staff to collect and report data	24			
Ability of partners to collect and report data				
Others			1 0	
Please specify:				
13. HOW DOES YOUR GOV AID-FOR-TRADE INITIA	ERNMENT ASSE	SS THE USEFULN DBAL LEVEL?	ESS OF MONITORII	NG THE
POSITIVE 📔	NEUTRAL	NEGAT		DON'T KNOW
13.1 What do you see as the	nitiative's majo	r challenges or ar	eas for improvemen	rte?

Waltweep of Francis States and States