

PARTNER COUNTRY QUESTIONNAIRE ON AID FOR TRADE

This questionnaire is intended to solicit information about the progress made since the last self assessment in 2008. It focuses in particular on the outputs and outcomes of aid-for-trade strategies and programmes to further knowledge sharing.

If you did not answer the self assessment questionnaire in 2008 please complete that questionnaire first. The 2008 questionnaire establishes a baseline concerning how your trade strategy is mainstreamed in your national development strategy.

For further details or additional forms please visit www.oecd.org/dac/aft/questionnaire or contact the secretariats of the OECD [aft.monitoring@oecd.org] or the WTO [aft.monitoring@wto.org].

COUNTRY: FIJI

MINISTRY/AGENCY (coordinating the self assessment): MINISTRIES OF FINANCE (AID UNIT) & STRATEGIC PLANNING NATIONAL DEVELOPMENT & STATISTICS

A. YOUR AID-FOR-TRADE OBJECTIVES AND PRIORITIES

1. HAVE YOUR AID-FOR-TRADE OBJECTIVES CHANGED SINCE 2008?

YES NO NOT SURE NOT APPLICABLE

1.1 If YES, please elaborate on what these changes are:

| | MOST IMPORTANT | IMPORTANT | LESS IMPORTANT | NOT IMPORTANT | NOT SURE |
|------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Changed trade capacity needs | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Changed focus on: | | | | | |
| • Competitiveness | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| • Poverty reduction | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| • Green growth | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| • Gender equality | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| • Regional integration | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Other | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| <i>Please specify:</i> | | | | | |

2. HAVE YOUR AID-FOR-TRADE PRIORITIES CHANGED SINCE 2008?

YES NO NOT SURE NOT APPLICABLE

2.1 If YES, please indicate your new aid-for-trade priorities in each sector. (Below are listed the most common priority areas grouped according to broad aid categories – please rank the top three NEW priority areas among the 12 listed.)

| | SECTOR | PRIORITY |
|------------------------------|--|----------|
| Trade policy and regulations | Trade policy analysis, negotiations and Implementation | 3 |

| | | |
|------------------------------|--|---|
| | WTO accession costs | |
| | Trade facilitation | |
| Economic infrastructure | Network infrastructure (power, water, telecom) | 1 |
| | Other transport | |
| | Cross-border Infrastructure | |
| Building productive capacity | Competitiveness | |
| | Value chains | |
| | Export diversification | 2 |
| Other | Adjustment costs | |
| | Regional Integration | |
| | Other | |

Please describe: Despite strong commitment at policy level, Fiji has not been able to conclude or capitalise on regional trade agreements and initiatives. It has been recognised that trade facilitation infrastructure and trade policy framework needs to be developed as a first step. One of which is to review the National Inter-Ministerial Committees such as the Trade Development Committee and the Trade Facilitation Committee that also comprise representation from the Private Sector and Civil Society.

2.2 If your aid-for-trade objectives or priorities have changed since 2008, please explain what were the main drivers of these changes?

| | MOST IMPORTANT | IMPORTANT | LESS IMPORTANT | NOT IMPORTANT |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| The economic crisis | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| New development priorities | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Change of government | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Multilateral trade policy changes | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Regional trade policy changes | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| National trade policy changes | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Other | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

Please specify: Due to low private sector investment activities in the past few years, government has focused on an expansionary fiscal thrust to initiate capital infrastructure expansion. In addition, Fiji's suspension from the Pacific Islands Forum Secretariat [PIFS] and Commonwealth has somewhat altered the trade status-quo in the region placing more emphasis on the need to venture into new markets particularly the Asian Markets through the Look North Policy initiative. Contributing to this the Fiji Government is opening new embassies in the Asia region namely Indonesia, Brazil & South Africa. The Ministry of Foreign Affairs & International Cooperation is currently working on the formulation of Fiji's first ever Trade Policy Framework to guide Fiji's trade and economic policies and to help achieve its national objectives, including meeting its Millennium Development Goals (MDG). The Trade Policy Framework will identify Fiji's trade and economic interests in goods and services in international markets, its objectives and strategies to be pursued over time as part of its overall sustainable economic development programme.

3. IF YOUR AID-FOR-TRADE OBJECTIVES OR PRIORITIES HAVE CHANGED, DID YOU MAINSTREAM THESE CHANGES INTO YOUR OVERALL DEVELOPMENT STRATEGY?

| | | | |
|--|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|
| YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | NO <input type="checkbox"/> | NOT SURE <input type="checkbox"/> | NOT APPLICABLE <input type="checkbox"/> |
| <p><i>Please elaborate: Further to the 2008 Questionnaire Report, the Roadmap to Democracy and Sustainable Socio-Economic Development (RDSSED) 2009-2014 had now taken precedence over the Sustainable Economic and Empowerment Development Strategy (SEEDS) 2008-2010. The RDSSED contains broad strategies which are applicable to the whole of government. Implementation plans and timelines will be available from Ministries annual corporate plans; however, the RDSSED has an implementation timeframe of 5 years. The operational strategy for the priority areas agreed to should be available from relevant agency's Corporate/Business Plan.</i></p> | | | |

| | | | |
|--|--|-----------------------------------|---|
| <p>4. IF YOU HAVE OPERATIONAL STRATEGIES FOR YOUR AID-FOR-TRADE PRIORITIES (WITH ACTION PLANS, TIMELINES AND BUDGETS), DID YOU UPDATE THESE OPERATIONAL STRATEGIES TO REFLECT THE CHANGES IN YOUR AID-FOR-TRADE OBJECTIVES OR PRIORITIES?</p> | | | |
| YES <input type="checkbox"/> | NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | NOT SURE <input type="checkbox"/> | NOT APPLICABLE <input type="checkbox"/> |
| <p>4.1 <i>If NOT, are you planning to update these operational strategies with these new objectives or priorities?</i></p> | | | |
| YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | NO <input type="checkbox"/> | NOT SURE <input type="checkbox"/> | NOT APPLICABLE <input type="checkbox"/> |

| | | | |
|--|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|
| <p>5. DID YOU INCLUDE THESE NEW AID-FOR-TRADE OBJECTIVES OR PRIORITIES IN YOUR NATIONAL DIALOGUE WITH DONORS?</p> | | | |
| YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | NO <input type="checkbox"/> | NOT SURE <input type="checkbox"/> | NOT APPLICABLE <input type="checkbox"/> |
| <p>5.1 <i>If NOT, are you planning to include these new objectives or priorities in your national dialogue with donors?</i></p> | | | |
| YES <input type="checkbox"/> | NO <input type="checkbox"/> | NOT SURE <input type="checkbox"/> | NOT APPLICABLE <input type="checkbox"/> |

| FOR LEAST DEVELOPED COUNTRIES | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|--------------------------|
| <p>6. ARE THE ENHANCED INTEGRATED FOCAL POINT AND COMMITTEE INVOLVED IN OVERSEEING AND COORDINATING YOUR TRADE AGENDA?</p> | | | | |
| YES <input type="checkbox"/> | NO <input type="checkbox"/> | NOT SURE <input type="checkbox"/> | NOT APPLICABLE <input type="checkbox"/> | |
| <p><i>Are all relevant ministries involved in the EIF process? Please detail: If NOT, what are the reasons?</i></p> | | | | |
| <p>6.1 Do donors use the EIF structures to coordinate the support they offer?</p> | | | | |
| YES <input type="checkbox"/> | NO <input type="checkbox"/> | NOT SURE <input type="checkbox"/> | NOT APPLICABLE <input type="checkbox"/> | |
| <p><i>If YES, to what extent do donors:</i></p> | | | | |
| | ALWAYS | SOMETIMES | RARELY/NEVER | NOT SURE |
| Use the DTIS Action Matrix as a basis for programming | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Co-ordinate their actions with the help of the in-country donor facilitator | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Other | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| <p><i>Please specify:</i></p> | | | | |

| | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------------------|---|---|--------------------------------------|--|
| 7. HAS THE ENHANCEMENT OF THE INTEGRATED FRAMEWORK HAD AN IMPACT ON YOUR ABILITY TO MAINSTREAM TRADE INTO YOUR NATIONAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN? | | | | | |
| SIGNIFICANT <input type="checkbox"/> | MODERATE <input type="checkbox"/> | INSIGNIFICANT <input type="checkbox"/> | TOO EARLY TO ASSESS <input type="checkbox"/> | NOT SURE <input type="checkbox"/> | NOT APPLICABLE <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 7.1 Please elaborate further on what the EIF has allowed you to achieve now compared to before its enhancement: | | | | | |

B. AID-FOR-TRADE FINANCING¹

| | | | | | |
|--|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|------|
| 8. DO YOU KEEP TRACK OF EXTERNAL CONCESSIONAL FINANCING FLOWS AT THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT LEVEL? | | | | | |
| YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | NO <input type="checkbox"/> | NOT SURE <input type="checkbox"/> | | | |
| 8.1 If YES, do you use one of the following tracking systems: | | | | | |
| | YES | NO | NOT SURE | | |
| Aid Management Platform | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | | |
| Development Assistance Database | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | | |
| National accounting system | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | | |
| Other | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | | |
| <i>Please specify: The Aid Unit in the Ministry of Finance has a excel spreadsheet database which has details of aid inflows , however the unit is currently discussing with UNDP on the formulation of the Development Assistance Database (DAD). Aid funds are either transferred to the central Government financing system through cash deposits or transfers through the RBF accounts and ad hoc funds are released through the appropriated budgetary allocations All external concessionary financing inflows are captured through the national accounting system or Financial Management Information System (FMIS)</i> | | | | | |
| 8.2 If YES, did the volume of external financing for trade-related programmes and projects change since 2008: | | | | | |
| | INCREASE | REMAIN STABLE | DECREASE | NOT SURE | |
| DAC Donors (see glossary) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | |
| Non DAC Donors | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | |
| South-South Providers | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | |
| Multilateral donors | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | |
| Private Development Assistance (NGOs) | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | |
| 8.3 If YES, do you know the share of different aid-for-trade providers in your overall aid-for-trade flows? | | | | | |
| | > 90% | 90-50% | 50-25% | < 25% | NONE |

¹ The Aid for Trade Statistical Queries page offers access to aid-for-trade statistics (through the online interface called the [Query Wizard for International Development Statistics](#), or QWIDS). Users can extract and download aid-for-trade statistics from 2002 onwards (i.e. volume, origin, and aid categories for over 150 developing countries and territories, including project-level information). The latest year for which information currently exists is 2008.

| | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| DAC Donors (see glossary) | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Non DAC Donors | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| South-South Providers | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Multilateral donors | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Private Development Assistance (NGOs) | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

9. COMPARED TO YOUR EXPERIENCE WITH OVERALL EXTERNAL FINANCING, DO YOU FACE ANY SPECIFIC CHALLENGES IN ACCESSING TRADE-RELATED FUNDING?

| | YES | NO | NOT SURE |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| DAC Donors (see glossary) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Non DAC Donors | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| South-South Providers | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Multilateral donors | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

9.1 If YES, please indicate which additional challenges you face:

| | MOST IMPORTANT | IMPORTANT | LESS IMPORTANT | NOT IMPORTANT |
|---|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Eligibility | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Conditionality | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Predictability | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Understanding procedures | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Difficulties in designing “bankable” projects | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Volume of available funding | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Other | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

Please define: For Fiji, it is noticed that some External Funding are conditional upon Political status which in essence should not be the case if the objective of the Aid for Trade is targetted at socio-economic development. Some donors do not use country systems, such as procurement and budget processes due to rules of origin, capacity constraints and lengthy processes. The volume of funding provided by donors needs to be aligned to country's budgetary support for development priorities. The overall Overseas Development Assistance to Government has been less than 5% over the last 5 years.

C. HOW DO YOU IMPLEMENT YOUR STRATEGY?

10. HAS THE ENTITY (OR ENTITIES) RESPONSIBLE FOR COORDINATING YOUR AID-FOR-TRADE ACTIVITIES CHANGED SINCE 2008?

YES NO NOT SURE NOT APPLICABLE

10.1 If YES, which entity or entities are now overseeing your aid-for-trade activities?

Ministry of Trade/

| | | |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|---|
| Sector Ministries | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <i>Specify: Prime Ministers Office (Development Cooperation and Facilitation Division, Ministry of Finance (Aid Unit), Ministry of Strategic Planning, Ministry of Foreign Affairs & International Cooperation, Ministry for Industry and Trade, Ministry of Primary Industries, Ministry of Health, Ministry of Works, Transport and Public Utilities.</i> |
| Coordinating Ministry | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <i>Specify: Ministry of Finance (Aid Unit) through Ministry of Foreign Affairs and International Cooperation.</i> |
| National Committee | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <i>Specify: Budget and Aid Coordinating Committee and Trade Development Committee</i> |
| Other | <input type="checkbox"/> | <i>Specify:</i> |
| No one | <input type="checkbox"/> | |

10.2 If YES, why did the changes take place? Please specify: The changes were necessary for better aid coordination, maintaining track of aid from donor agencies and monitoring purposes.

| | | | |
|--|--|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 11. HAS THE DIALOGUE ON AID FOR TRADE BETWEEN YOUR GOVERNMENT AND DONORS BEEN STRENGTHENED SINCE 2008? | | | |
| SIGNIFICANTLY <input type="checkbox"/> | MODERATELY <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | RARELY/NO <input type="checkbox"/> | NOT SURE <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 11.1 If YES, please describe and exemplify: There are more offers for loan than aid by donor countries and most engage in informal interactions than to any form of formal communication. | | | |

| | | | |
|--|--|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 12. HAS THE DIALOGUE ON AID FOR TRADE BETWEEN YOUR GOVERNMENT AND NATIONAL STAKEHOLDERS BEEN STRENGTHENED SINCE 2008? | | | |
| SIGNIFICANTLY <input type="checkbox"/> | MODERATELY <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | RARELY/NO <input type="checkbox"/> | NOT SURE <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 12.1 If YES, please describe and exemplify: It is anticipated that the review of Fiji's National Trade Development Committee and Trade facilitation Facilitation Committee will strengthen dialogue and improve policy coordination between Government and National Stakeholders. In addition, Ministry of Finance conducts regular (annual) high level Donor Roundtable meetings where development needs and aid coordination initiatives are discussed. | | | |

| | | | | |
|--|--|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|
| 13. ARE DONORS HARMONISING THEIR SUPPORT BETTER THAN PRIOR TO 2008? | | | | |
| SIGNIFICANTLY <input type="checkbox"/> | MODERATELY <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | RARELY/NO <input type="checkbox"/> | NOT SURE <input type="checkbox"/> | |
| 13.1 How often do donors in your country coordinate through: | | | | |
| | ALWAYS | SOMETIMES | RARELY/NEVER | NOT SURE |
| Joint needs assessment | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Co-financing | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Sector-wide approaches | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Joint implementation | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Common monitoring | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

| | | | | |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Joint evaluation | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Other | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| <i>Please elaborate:</i> | | | | |

14. HAS THE MONITORING OF YOUR AID-FOR-TRADE PROGRAMMES IMPROVED SINCE 2008?

SIGNIFICANTLY MODERATELY RARELY/NO NOT SURE

14.1 *If YES, please describe how you improved the monitoring of aid-for-trade programmes:*

15. HAVE DONORS ALIGNED THEIR SUPPORT BETTER AROUND YOUR COUNTRY'S TRADE-RELATED PRIORITIES SINCE 2008?

SIGNIFICANTLY MODERATELY RARELY/NO NOT SURE

15.1 *If donor support is better aligned, please describe how this was achieved:*

15.2 *If donor support is less aligned, please explain why and any steps you plan to take to reverse this trend:*

D. IS AID FOR TRADE WORKING?

16. HOW DO YOU DEFINE THE SUCCESS OF AID FOR TRADE IN YOUR COUNTRY?

| | MOST IMPORTANT | IMPORTANT | LESS IMPORTANT | NOT IMPORTANT |
|--|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Enhanced understanding of trade | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Increased profile of trade in development strategy (mainstreaming) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| More harmonised and aligned aid-for-trade projects and programmes | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Increased aid-for-trade resources | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Increased exports | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Increased trade | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Diversified exports | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Increased economic growth | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Reduced poverty | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Greater environmental sustainability | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Greater gender equality | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Other | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

Please define:

17. IN YOUR COUNTRY, DID AID FOR TRADE RESULT IN:

| | SIGNIFICANT | MODERATE | INSIGNIFICANT | NOT SURE | NOT APPLICABLE |
|--|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Enhanced understanding of trade | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Increased profile of trade in development strategy (mainstreaming) | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| More harmonised and aligned aid-for-trade programmes | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Increased aid-for-trade resources | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Increased exports | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Increased trade | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Diversified exports | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Increased economic growth | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Reduced poverty | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Greater environmental sustainability | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Greater gender equality | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Others | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

Please define: *Fiji exports have declined, economic growth declined and there are spillover effects of this in other sectors like increased poverty and high cost of living.*

17.1 Please illustrate with examples of both successful and unsuccessful aid-for-trade process, approaches and programmes: For Fiji two successful stories are the establishment of Fiji's Competent Authority to assist in Fiji Fish exports to the EU and formulation of Fiji's Trade Policy Framework from funding from the EU. One possible less successful story is the contracting Sugar Industry which was highly dependent on the EU aid for the most needed reforms and upgrading works and reliant on the preferential access provided by the EU, which has been eroding gradually. This is an extremely important industry for Fiji whereby 200,000 of Fiji's population is dependant upon.

18. IN YOUR COUNTRY HOW DEPENDENT IS THE SUCCESS OF AID FOR TRADE ON COMPLEMENTARY POLICIES?

| | | | |
|--|---|--|-----------------------------------|
| VERY IMPORTANT <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT <input type="checkbox"/> | NOT IMPORTANT <input type="checkbox"/> | NOT SURE <input type="checkbox"/> |
|--|---|--|-----------------------------------|

18.1 If important, how do you rate the importance of the following complementary policies?

| | VERY IMPORTANT | SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT | NOT IMPORTANT | NOT SURE |
|------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Fiscal policies | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Monetary policies | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Labour market policies | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Regulatory environment | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

| | | | | |
|------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Governance | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Other | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

Please elaborate: These policies provide the enabling environment conducive to facilitate trade and investment. With the focus on expansionary fiscal policy, capital expansion has taken precedence over private sector investment activity. With an adequate reserve base, there is ample policy space for liquidity in the market and conducive for lending. In addition, Fiji's Labour Market policy with regards to labour mobility, has been strengthened through the establishment of the National Employment Centre (NEC) to train unskilled personnel to capitalise on initiatives such as the Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) hype in PNG.

19. DO YOU DISCUSS COMPLEMENTARY POLICIES IN THE AID FOR TRADE DIALOGUE WITH:

| | YES | SOMETIMES | NOT | NOT SURE |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| DAC Donors | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Non DAC donors | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| South-South Providers | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Multilateral donors | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Your private sector | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Other | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

Please elaborate:

19.1 Please illustrate the importance of complementary policies with examples of both successful and unsuccessful aid-for-trade process, approaches and programmes:

The various donors and providers have different focal areas, however, an example of a successful process is between AusAID and EU assistance to the Education sector called the Fiji Education Sector Programme (FESP-EU and FESP-AusAID) as the two donors' assistances complemented each other. There are other programmes which are solely funded by a donor or provider and not complemented but are successful eg the Chinese Government funded Navuso linking bridge.

20. HOW DOES YOUR GOVERNMENT ASSESS THE MONITORING OF THE GLOBAL AID-FOR-TRADE INITIATIVE TO DATE?

POSITIVE NEUTRAL NEGATIVE DON'T KNOW

20.1 What do you see as major challenges or areas for improvements: Findings of the survey to be publicised and donor countries to be made aware of the need for sustainable trade policies and positive engagement particularly for small & vulnerable economies. In addition, it is suggested that WTO take a lead role in informing donor countries to improve effectiveness of their Aid for Trade rather than imposing political demands.

21. ARE THERE ANY PARTICULAR EXAMPLES OF YOUR AID-FOR-TRADE PROCESSES, PROGRAMMES OR PROJECTS THAT HAVE OBTAINED GOOD RESULTS OR BAD THAT YOU THINK COULD CONTRIBUTE TO THE DEVELOPMENT OF GOOD PRACTICES?
THE TWO NOTABLE ONES ARE THE TRADE POLICY FRAMEWORK AND THE ESTABLISHMENT OF THE COMPETENT AUTHORITY AND THE EXCEPTIONAL ONE IS THE WITHDRAWAL OF EU FUNDING ON SUGAR REFORM.

22. PLEASE FEEL FREE TO RAISE ANY ISSUE THAT HAS NOT BEEN ADDRESSED IN THIS QUESTIONNAIRE AND THAT YOU CONSIDER WORTHWHILE TO RAISE:

EXPLANATORY NOTES

WHAT IS THIS QUESTIONNAIRE ABOUT?

The Task Force on Aid for Trade underscored that all providers and recipients of aid for trade have a responsibility to report on progress and results, and to increase confidence that aid for trade will be delivered and used effectively. Partner countries were invited to report on trade mainstreaming in national development strategies, the formulation of trade strategies, aid-for-trade needs (including national, regional), donor responses, implementation and impact.

The qualitative information is obtained through structured questionnaires tailored to partner countries. Questions are designed to elicit information to the effectiveness of aid for trade. This Questionnaire furthers the analyse and gauges progress made since 2008. It asks about how strategies and priorities have changed, how aid-for-trade financing has evolved, probes implementation issues and solicits your views on whether aid for trade is working.

The questionnaire is being sent to those countries which completed the 2008 Questionnaire which formed the basis of the analysis in the Aid for Trade at a Glance Report 2009. Details of the previous responses to the questionnaire can be found here:

http://www.oecd.org/document/1/0,3343,en_2649_34665_42926849_1_1_1_1,00.html

WHO SHOULD RESPOND TO THE QUESTIONNAIRE?

The self-assessment report (including answers to this questionnaire) should represent a whole-of-government view, and not solely a trade ministry perspective. Thus, substantial cross-ministerial cooperation and coordination is likely to be required. In some countries, officials from the Trade Ministry are best placed to coordinate the different inputs from Finance, Agriculture, Transport, Cooperation ministries, etc.

In many countries, particularly LDCs participating in the Enhanced Integrated Framework (EIF) process, there are already national processes to discuss and consult on trade policy and integration strategies. These national committees might provide a good forum to discuss the response to the questionnaire. In EIF countries, the focal point is probably best suited to lead the coordination role as this person is already responsible for coordinating the Diagnostic Trade Integration Studies and implementation of the Action Matrix. Remember that the function of the self-assessments is to report to the Global Review the outcome of national processes that address aid dedicated to increasing trade capacity. We would encourage you to use the results of other monitoring and reporting requirements as much as possible.

Because of this diversity, despite our best efforts to send this information to the right people in your country, we are bound to have made mistakes along the way. If you are not the right person, we would be extremely grateful if you could pass on this questionnaire to the best person in your country to coordinate the response.

WHEN IS IT DUE?

You should send your response to aft.monitoring@oecd.org and aft.monitoring@wto.org by **31 January 2011**. This will ensure that your response is included in the next [OECD-WTO Aid-for-Trade at a Glance](#) report and is widely available for others to read and be discussed at the next Global Review on Aid for Trade². Your response, in its original language, will also be posted on the dedicated publication website for better transparency and wider viewing.

If you do not meet the deadline, your response won't be included in the analysis of the joint OECD-WTO report to be presented and discussed at the Global Aid-for-Trade review. However it will be posted on a dedicated website which will contain all the responses to the current and the previous round of questionnaires.

² See http://www.wto.org/english/tratop_e/devel_e/a4t_e/global_review09_e.htm for information on the 2009 Global Review held in Geneva at the WTO in July 2009

WHO CAN HELP ME?

There are a number of technical events being scheduled at the regional level between October 2010 and January 2011 to support this process. If you need further assistance, please feel free to contact the WTO or OECD staff at aft.monitoring@wto.org.

THE QUESTIONNAIRE STEP BY STEP

A. YOUR AID-FOR-TRADE STRATEGY

The objective of the first section is to assess if and why your strategy or policies have changed since the last questionnaire. It examines the reasons why you may have changed your strategy or priorities and if those changes were integrated (e.g. mainstreamed) in your national development strategy and included in your dialogue with donors. As noted in the previous questionnaire, In many countries, trade strategies are undistinguishable from general competitiveness strategies, particularly those focused on the globalisation of national economies.

Question 1 addresses the issue of changing strategies and the follow up question asks you to elaborate on specific objectives that may have changed. The answer should help you to signal to donors and the wider aid-for-trade community emerging trends and priorities in your strategy as different countries use very different mechanisms to draft and communicate their development plans. This can also help your government to assess whether existing strategies and plans are still relevant or have been overtaken by events. If you have changed your strategy, was this due to an increasing focus by your government on national competitiveness, changed trade capacity needs or due to increasing emphasis on poverty reduction, greener growth, gender equality or regional integration. It would be useful for you to indicate which of these changes were most important, or less so.

Question 2 asks if your priorities have changed since the first questionnaire and presents a list of possible priorities in Trade Policy and Regulations, Economic Infrastructure and Building Productive Capacity. Countries with unlisted priorities should make full use of the 'other' priorities category. A follow-up question asks about the main drivers for this change of priorities in order of importance. Possible reasons might include the economic crisis, new development priorities, change of government or trade policy changes.

Question 3 asks if changed strategies or priorities have been integrated into your overall development strategies. Responses should show whether you are finding the aid-for-trade concept useful for developing coherent trade strategies that encompass a full range of different activities (from infrastructure building to trade policy training), or whether you prefer developing strategies specific to the sector, type of activity or funding source.

Question 4 asks about whether changes outlined in Question 3 were included in updated strategies for aid-for-trade priorities. If current operational strategies do not include your revised objectives, when do you plan to update?

Question 5 asks if the changes in objectives or priorities were included in recent dialogues with donors.

Questions 6 and 7 are specifically targeted to Least Developed Countries (LDCs) and ask specific questions about the Enhanced Integrated Framework (EIF). The first asks about the involvement of the EIF focal point and committee in overseeing and coordinating your trade agenda. It asks which ministries are involved in the EIF process and whether donors use these structures to coordinate the support they offer. This is followed by a question on the enhancement of the IF and whether this has had an impact on your ability to mainstream. These questions will enable the aid-for-trade community to assess the contribution of the EIF to LDCs with a view to better coordinating with the Aid-for-Trade Initiative

B. AID-FOR-TRADE FINANCING

This section asks about how you measure your aid-for-trade flows and how those flows have changed since 2008. Better information on flows at the local level is essential in planning and programming of trade capacity building. The WTO Task Force suggested that aid should be considered aid for trade only if designed to address trade-related challenges identified in national development strategies. We do not expect the majority of partner countries to have in place the necessary systems to identify aid for trade precisely but in those cases would like to know how they account for the aid for trade they receive and if they experience any particular challenges in accessing or measuring aid-for-trade flows compared to other forms of ODA.

Question 8 tries to understand how partner governments measure and keep track of concessional aid-for-trade flows. If such flows are tracked, what system is used:

- An Aid Management Platform (AMP) is an application designed for use by governments and their development partners, AMP provides information for planning, monitoring, coordinating, tracking and reporting on international aid flows and activities. For more information:
<http://www.developmentgateway.org/programs/aid-management-program/aid-management-platform.html>
- A Development Assistance database is a web-based Aid Information Management System which involves information collection, tracking, analysis and planning tool for use by national governments and the broader assistance community, including bilateral donors, international organisations, and NGOs
- National accounting systems based on compiling all inflows of aid to the Finance Ministries, semi-governmental agencies, line ministries and associations.

Question 8.2 asks about the changes of aid-for-trade flows since 2008, according to your best estimates did flows increase or decrease? Question 8.3 asks about the relative performance of donors and providers of South-South cooperation.

Question 9 looks at whether the issues around aid-for-trade are different from those affecting generic ODA and aid to other sectors. Is aid for trade different in the way it is allocated by bilateral and multilateral donors, or South-South providers? A follow up question for those who do experience particular issues with aid for trade delivery can specify in 10.1 what the specific issues are: eligibility, conditionality, predictability etc.

C. HOW DO YOU IMPLEMENT YOUR STRATEGY?

The objective of this section is twofold: i.) to find out how your aid-for-trade strategy is implemented, by which entity, involving dialogue with which stakeholders and ii.) to assess and encourage progress in the application of aid effectiveness principles on aid for trade. Designing and implementing aid for trade strategies requires the involvement of actors across local and national government, the private sector and civil society as well as donors. How well are donors aligning and harmonizing their aid-for-trade projects? We do not want to duplicate the monitoring exercise of the Paris Declaration, but to ensure that the progress made in applying the aid effectiveness principles is benefiting trade as much as other sectors.

Question 10 asks about which entity is responsible for coordinating your aid-for-trade activities and whether this has changed since 2008. As noted above aid for trade requires the involvement of many governmental and non-governmental stakeholders but which body is responsible for coordination? This may be the Ministry of Trade or another Ministry or a specific National Committee. If a change took place it would be useful to indicate why to determine if practices are shifting and why.

Question 11 introduces dialogue on aid for trade and asks you to assess if your dialogue with donors has improved since 2008. If there have been changes, we would like to know more about what happened during this period to bring on this change.

Question 12 goes deeper into the issue of dialogue, this time between government and national stakeholders. Have these changed since 2008. If so how and why?

Question 13 begins looking at aid effectiveness issues starting with harmonisation. In the Paris Declaration donors committed to streamline and harmonise their policies, procedures, and practices; intensify delegated cooperation and increase the flexibility of country-based staff to manage country programmes and projects more effectively. This question asks if donors are doing this more effectively compared to 2008. It goes on to ask about specific coordination mechanisms such as joint needs assessments, co-financing arrangements and joint implementation and evaluation.

Question 14 asks about your Government's monitoring systems for aid for trade. We are aware that many partner countries won't have set up independent monitoring processes for externally financed programmes, and that joint approaches with donors are not very common. At the same time, progress in this area is essential to foster mutual accountability and to increase aid effectiveness. We hope the question will encourage you to take stock of the situation in your country, and that your answer will help us to identify the most common forms of monitoring systems in partner countries and encourage progress in this area. So, please feel free to expand your response to include the challenges your government encounters or has encountered in establishing monitoring systems.

Question 15 looks at the issue of alignment. Donors have committed to align their development assistance with the development priorities and results-oriented strategies set out by the partner country. In delivering this assistance, donors will progressively depend on partner countries' own systems, providing capacity-building support to improve these systems, rather than establishing parallel systems of their own. Are donors making progress towards this objective in aid for trade. If they are, please describe how this was accomplished and if donor support is less aligned please explain why where possible and outline any steps that might reverse this.

D. IS AID FOR TRADE WORKING?

The final section of the questionnaire looks at how partner countries define success of aid for trade, the degree to which complementary policies are considered and the quality and importance of global monitoring in an attempt to determine if aid for trade is working. It also gives partner countries an opportunity to elaborate on issues of importance to them that may not have been raised in this questionnaire.

Question 16 asks how you define success in aid for trade. What are you looking to achieve through your plans, strategies aided by donor support? Aid for trade can have multiple outcomes or impacts. The Aid for Trade Task Force defined aid for trade as whatever the partner countries consider trade

Question 17 asks about the results you achieved? From your perspective, what impact has aid for trade had in your country. Did the aid-for-trade process lead to enhanced understanding and increased profile of trade or did it help you to increase trade, growth and reduce poverty. Please explain why or why not you achieved these objectives with examples of both successful and unsuccessful aid-for-trade processes, approaches and programmes.

Question 18 introduces the issue of complementary policies. Aid for trade will be more effective, and its impact on trade and economic growth larger, if it is used in a supportive environment in terms of regulations, taxes, labour market policies etc. Policymakers need to be aware of the importance of these complementary policies and this question asks you to outline how dependent aid-for-trade outcomes and impacts are on these policies. Question 18.1 asks which complementary policies matter most in your experience? Question 18.2 asks if you discuss these policies with DAC donors, non-DAC donors, South-South providers and multilateral donors. Question 18.3 asks for examples which illustrate the importance of complementary policies with examples of successful and unsuccessful aid-for-trade processes, approaches and programmes.

Question 19 asks about the quality of the monitoring by OECD and WTO of the Aid-for-Trade Initiative. Since 2006 OECD has taken a lead in monitoring aid for trade through a range of quantitative and qualitative instruments including tracking flows through the Creditor Reporting System, self-assessment by donors and partner countries through specified questionnaires and case stories which expand the monitoring into looking at outcomes and impacts. Respondents also have an opportunity to flag areas of particular concern which require improvement.

Question 20 asks you to share a concrete example of good practice. Good examples of aid-for-trade projects and programmes exist in many countries, from institutional processes which are particularly successful at engaging with the private sector, to regional initiatives, infrastructure projects or trade facilitation reforms. This body of experience could be extremely helpful to other developing countries facing similar challenges. If you have a good example in your country that you think might be useful to others, please explain the objectives of the programme or project, its main characteristics, why it worked well, and provide a list of additional materials and/or contacts for those interested in further information.

Question 21 gives you an opportunity to raise any issue you feel is important but not addressed directly in the questionnaire. The questionnaire is a tool with which you can outline and describe the issues that are important for you. They are designed to encompass very different countries with different challenges and approaches. Thus the questionnaire needs to be flexible enough to accommodate these differences. This question provides this opportunity and gives you a chance to introduce relevant issues or explore areas that you feel did not get adequate coverage. In addition you are encouraged to expand on any topic you feel would be of interest to the wider aid-for-trade community through a case story. Details on this can be found here: www.oecd.org/dac/aft/casestories

GLOSSARY

| | |
|--|--|
| Action Matrix | The outcome of the Enhanced Integrated Framework trade needs assessment. It is a summary list of recommendations and follow-up measures coming out of the Diagnostic Trade Integration Study and validation workshop. |
| Additionality | Additional aid for trade is aid increases that do not crowd out other aid flows, such as aid to health and education, but rather, reflect increases in total sector allocable development aid. |
| Aid Activity | Aid activities include projects and programmes, cash transfers, deliveries of goods, training courses, research projects, debt relief operations and contributions to non-governmental organisations. |
| Aid for Trade | <p>The WTO Task Force on Aid for Trade states that Aid for Trade is about assisting developing countries to increase exports of goods and services, to integrate into the multilateral trading system, and to benefit from liberalized trade and increased market access. It proposes to break down Aid for Trade into six categories:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><i>a. Trade policy and regulations</i>, including: training of trade officials, analysis of proposals and positions and their impact, support for national stakeholders to articulate commercial interest and identify trade-offs, dispute issues, institutional and technical support to facilitate implementation of trade agreements and to adapt to and comply with rules and standards.<i>b. Trade development</i>, including: investment promotion, analysis and institutional support for trade in services, business support services and institutions, public-private sector networking, e-commerce, trade finance, trade promotion, market analysis and development.<i>c. Trade-related infrastructure</i>: transport and storage, energy, and telecommunications.<i>d. Building productive capacity</i>.<i>e. Trade-related adjustment</i>, including supporting developing countries to put in place accompanying measures that assist them to benefit from liberalized trade.<i>f. Other trade-related needs</i>. |
| Alignment | One of the Paris Declaration's five main principles on Aid Effectiveness. Donors will align their development assistance with the development priorities and results-oriented strategies set out by the partner country. In delivering this assistance, donors will progressively depend on partner countries' own systems, providing capacity-building support to improve these systems, rather than establishing parallel systems of their own. Partner countries will undertake the necessary reforms that would enable donors to rely on their country systems. |
| Creditor Reporting System (CRS) | The OECD CRS aid activity database covers bilateral and multilateral donors' aid and other resource flows to developing countries. The objective of the CRS is to provide a set of readily available basic data that enables analysis on where aid goes, what purposes it serves and what policies it aims to implement, on a comparable basis for all DAC members. The CRS provides detailed information on individual aid activities, such as sectors, countries, project descriptions etc. Three main CRS categories are used as proxies to track Aid-for-Trade volumes: (1) trade policy and regulations; (2) economic infrastructure (energy, transport & communications); and (3) building productive capacity. From 2008 onwards, a new CRS category will track aid to "trade-related adjustment". The database has free access. For more information, follow this link. |

Diagnostic Trade Integration Studies (DTIS)

Evaluation of internal and external constraints to a country's integration in the global trading system. An action matrix is developed as a result of the study to facilitate cooperation with the government, donors, and the private sector. Refer to the Enhanced Integrated Framework for more information.

Enhanced Integrated Framework (EIF), formerly Integrated Framework (IF)

The Enhanced IF (EIF) is an international initiative through which the International Monetary Fund (IMF), the International Trade Centre (ITC), the United Nations Conference on Trade and Development (UNCTAD), the United Nations Development Program (UNDP), the World Bank (WB) and the World Trade Organization (WTO) combine their efforts with those of Least-Developed Countries (LDCs) and bilateral and multilateral development partners to respond to the trade development needs of LDCs. The Integrated framework was launched in 1997 and to date, up to 46 LDCs of a total of 49 have become beneficiaries. The IF process is comprised of four-parts: (1) Awareness building; (2) Diagnostic for a Trade Integration Strategy or DTIS; (3) Plan integration into the national development strategy; and (4) Plan implementation.

Evaluation

The systematic and objective assessment of an on-going or completed project, programme or policy, specifically its design, implementation and results. The aim is to determine the relevance and fulfilment of objectives, development efficiency, effectiveness, impact and sustainability. An evaluation should provide information that is credible and useful, enabling the incorporation of lessons learned into the decision-making process of both recipients and donors. Evaluation also refers to the process of determining the worth or significance of an activity, policy or program. An assessment, as systematic and objective as possible, of a planned, on-going, or completed development intervention. Note: Evaluation in some instances involves the definition of appropriate standards, the examination of performance against those standards, an assessment of actual and expected results and the identification of relevant lessons.

Harmonisation

One of the Paris Declaration's five main principles on Aid Effectiveness. Donors will implement good practice principles in development assistance delivery. They will streamline and harmonise their policies, procedures, and practices; intensify delegated cooperation; increase the flexibility of country-based staff to manage country programmes and projects more effectively; and develop incentives within their agencies to foster management and staff recognition of the benefits of harmonisation.

Mainstreaming = "to bring into the main stream"

Mainstreaming means to introduce a certain way of thinking and acting into the mainstream – in all kinds of programs and measures – and to let it develop into a natural behaviour in order to penetrate and change the "mainstream". Mainstreaming in the trade context means to integrate a trade perspective in all economic development programmes and strategies, so that considerations about external trade interactions with the domestic economy are integrated in the design of all projects and activities in a way that contributes to the country's capacity to benefit from trade integration.

| | |
|--|---|
| Managing for Results | One of the Paris Declaration's five main principles on Aid Effectiveness. Partner countries will embrace the principles of managing for results, starting with their own results-oriented strategies and continuing to focus on results at all stages of the development cycle – from planning through implementation to evaluation. Donors will rely on and support partner countries' own priorities, objectives, and results, and work in coordination with other donors to strengthen partner countries' institutions, systems, and capabilities to plan and implement projects and programmes, report on results, and evaluate their development processes and outcomes (avoiding parallel donor-driven mechanisms). |
| Monitoring | A continuing function that uses systematic collection of data on specified indicators to provide management and the main stakeholders of an ongoing development intervention with indications of the extent of progress and achievement of objectives and progress in the use of allocated funds. |
| Official Development Finance (ODF) | Used in measuring the inflow of resources to recipient countries: includes (a) bilateral ODA, (b) grants and concessional and non-concessional development lending by multilateral financial institutions, and (c) Other Official Flows for development purposes (including refinancing Loans) which have too low a grant element to qualify as ODA. |
| Official Development Assistance (ODA) | Grants or Loans to countries and territories on the DAC List of Aid Recipients (developing countries) which are: (a) undertaken by the official sector; (b) with promotion of economic development and welfare as the main objective; (c) at concessional financial terms [if a loan, having a grant element of at least 25 per cent]. In addition to financial flows, Technical Co-operation (q.v.) is included in aid. Grants, loans and credits for military purposes are excluded. The DAC List of Aid Recipients includes all low and middle income countries, except those that are members of the G8 or the European Union (including countries with a firm date for EU admission). |
| Other Official Flows (OOF) | Transactions by the official sector with countries on the List of Aid Recipients which do not meet the conditions for eligibility as Official Development Assistance or Official Aid, either because they are not primarily aimed at development, or because they have a Grant Element of less than 25 per cent |
| Ownership | One of the Paris Declaration's five main principles on Aid Effectiveness. The development community will respect the right – and responsibility – of the partner country to exercise effective leadership over its development policies and strategies, and coordinate development actions. |
| WTO Global Review | The Global Aid-for-Trade Review on 20-21 November 2007 was the focal point of WTO's monitoring mandate for 2007. The Global Aid-for-Trade Review had three objectives: to take stock of what is happening on Aid for Trade; to identify what should happen next; and to improve WTO monitoring and evaluation. The next Global Review is scheduled to take place in Spring 2009, and will again review the process made in implementing the Aid-for-Trade Initiative, including through an analysis of global flows, and donors and partner countries' self-assessments. |

**WTO Task Force
on Aid for Trade**

As a result of the Hong Kong Ministerial Conference, Director General-Lamy created a task force to provide recommendations on how to operationalise the Aid-for-Trade initiative and ensure it contributes most effectively to the development dimension of the Doha Development Agenda. The Task Force consisted of 13 WTO Member countries, in alphabetical order: Barbados, Brazil, Canada, China, Colombia, the European Union, Japan, India, Thailand, the United States, and the coordinators of the ACP, African and LDC Groups. The Permanent Representative of Sweden, ambassador Mia Horn Af Rantzien, chaired it ad personam. In July 2006, the Task force delivered its recommendations (WT/AFT/1), which were endorsed by the WTO General Council on 10 October.