



PARTNER COUNTRY QUESTIONNAIRE ON AID FOR TRADE

This questionnaire is intended to solicit information about the progress made since the last self assessment in 2008. It focuses in particular on the outputs and outcomes of aid-for-trade strategies and programmes to further knowledge sharing.

If you did not answer the self assessment questionnaire in 2008 please complete that questionnaire first. The 2008 questionnaire establishes a baseline concerning how your trade strategy is mainstreamed in your national development strategy.

For further details or additional forms please visit www.oecd.org/dac/aft/questionnaire or contact the secretariats of the OECD [aft.monitoring@oecd.org] or the WTO [aft.monitoring@wto.org].

COUNTRY: BANGLADESH

MINISTRY/AGENCY (coordinating the self assessment):

A.	YOUR AID-FOR-TRAE	DE OBJECTIVES AND	PRIORITIES			
1.	HAVE YOUR AID-FOR	R-TRADE OBJECTIVES	S CHANGED S	INCE 2008?		
	YES 🗌	NO 🖾		SURE 🗌	NOT APPL	ICABLE [
1.1	If YES, please elabora	te on what these cha	nges are:			1
		MOST IMPORTANT	IMPORTANT	LESS IMPORTANT	NOT IMPORTANT	NOT SURE
Cha	nged trade capacity needs					
Cha	nged focus on:				11-4 (4-1) (10-1) (10-1) (10-2)	
• 0	Competitiveness					
Poverty reduction						
Green growth						
• G	ender equality					
• R	egional integration					
Othe	er					
Pleas	se specify:					
2.	HAVE YOUR AID-FOR-	TRADE PRIORITIES (CHANGED SIN	ICE 2008?		
	YES 🗌	NO 🖾	NOT S	URE 🗌	NOT APPLI	CABLE [
2.1	If YES, please indicate y most common priority three NEW priority area	areas grouped accord	ding to broad	each sector. aid categorie	(Below are list s – please ran	ted the k the top
		SECTOR				PRIORITY
rade	policy and regulations	Trade policy analys	is, negotiation	s and Impleme	entation	

PARTMER COUNTRY QUELTIONS AND FOR THAIR

			sion costs						
		Trade facilit			m - I was				
conor	mic infrastructure	Network in	frastructure (po	wer, water, tele	com)				
		Other trans	Other transport						
		Cross-bord	er Infrastructur	e					
uildin	ng productive capacity	Competitiv	eness						
		Value chair	15	CHIECOTON CONTRACTOR					
		Export dive	ersification						
ther		Adjustmen	t costs			Capacita Armin			
Regional			tegration		and the second second second second				
		Other			2000 to 100 miles				
lease	describe:								
2.2	If your aid-for-trade ob the main drivers of the		iorities have cl	nanged since 20	08, please expl	ain what wei			
			MOST IMPORTANT	IMPORTANT	LESS IMPORTANT	NOT IMPORTAN			
he e c	conomic crisis								
lew d	levelopment priorities								
hang	e of government								
⁄Iultil:	ateral trade policy chang	es							
legior	nal trade policy changes								
l atior	nal trade policy changes								
Other									
Please	e specify:								
3.	IF YOUR AID-FOR-TRA MAINSTREAM THESE	CHANGES IN	/ES OR PRIORI TO YOUR OVE	RALL DEVELOP	MENT STRATE	GY?			
	YES 🗌	№ □		NOT SURE	NOT A	PPLICABLE 🛛			
	e elaborate:								

5. DID YOU INCLUDE THESE NEW AID-FOR-TRADE OBJECTIVES OR PRIORITIES IN YOU NATIONAL DIALOGUE WITH DONORS?					
	YES NO NO		NOT SURE	NOT AP	PLICABLE 🛛
5.1	If NOT, are you planning to include with donors?	these new obje	ctives or prior		
	YES NO NO		NOT SURE	NOT AP	PLICABLE 🛛
F0;	LEAST DEVELOPED GOUNTRIES				
6.	ARE THE ENHANCED INTEGRATED OVERSEEING AND COORDINATIN	FOCAL POINT G YOUR TRADE	AND COMMIT	TEE INVOLVED	N
	YES 🛛 NO 🗌		NOT SURE	NOT AP	PLICABLE
5.1	dinate the EIF process in Bangladesh. If NOT, what are the reasons? Do donors use the EIF structures to		support they o	ffer?	
	YES NO		NOT SURE	NOT API	PLICABLE 🛛
	If YES, to what extent do donors:				
		ALWAYS	SOMETIMES	RARELY/NEVER	NOT SURE
	ne DTIS Action Matrix as a basis ogramming				
	dinate their actions with the help of the entry donor facilitator	, _			
า-cou			D 22 2000		
Other Please he Go	e specify: Diagnostic Trade Integration overnment of Bangladesh to prepare thi Tying the role of Donor facilitator to add	s DTIS as a Lead	Agency and Eu	ropean Delegation	n is nominated
Other Please he Go or pla	e specify: Diagnostic Trade Integration overnment of Bangladesh to prepare thi	s DTIS as a Lead dress the priority NTEGRATED FR	Agency and Eu needs to be ide AMEWORK H	ropean Delegation ntified in an actio	n is nominated n matrics of ON YOUR
Other Elease the Go OTIS.	e specify: Diagnostic Trade Integration overnment of Bangladesh to prepare this aying the role of Donor facilitator to add	S DTIS as a Lead dress the priority NTEGRATED FR. NTO YOUR NAT	Agency and Eu needs to be ide AMEWORK H	ropean Delegation ntified in an action AD AN IMPACT (OPMENT PLAN?	n is nominated n matrics of ON YOUR

¹ The Aid for Trade Statistical Queries page offers access to aid-for-trade statistics (through the online interface called the <u>Query Wizard for International Development Statistics</u>, or QWIDS). Users can extract and download aid-for-trade statistics from 2002 onwards (i.e. volume, origin, and aid categories for over 150 developing countries and territories, including project-level information). The latest year for which information currently exists is 2008.

8.	CENTRAL GOVERNMENT LEVEL?	L CONCESSIONA	L FINANC	ING FLOV	VS AT THE	
	YES 🖾	NO 🗌			NOT SURE	
8.1	If YES, do you use one of the following	ng tracking syste	ms:		4 4 4 4	
		YES		NO		NOT SURE
Aid M	anagement Platform			\boxtimes		
Develo	ppment Assistance Database	\boxtimes				
Nation	al accounting system	\boxtimes				
Other						
Please	specify:			*.785=111111-11-11-11		
8.2	If YES, did the volume of external fin since 2008:	ancing for trade-	related pr	ogramme	s and proje	cts change
		INCREASE	REMAIN	STABLE	DECREASE	NOT SURE
DAC D	onors (see glossary)	⋈]		
Non D	AC Donors]		
South-	South Providers]		() (November 10 10 10 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11
Multila	teral donors	\boxtimes				
Private Development Assistance (NGOs)		\boxtimes				
8.3	lf YES, do you know the share of diffe aid-for–trade flows?	rent aid-for-trad	le providei	rs in your	overall	
		> 90%	90-50%	50-25%	< 25%	NONE
DAC Do	nors (see glossary)				\boxtimes	
Non DA	C Donors				⋈	
South-S	outh Providers				\boxtimes	
Multilat	eral donors					
Private	Development Assistance (NGOs)				×	
	COMPARED TO YOUR EXPERIENCE VOO YOU FACE ANY SPECIFIC CHALLE					IG?
		YES		NO	N	OT SURE
DAC Doi	nors (see glossary)	×				
Non DA	Donors	\boxtimes				
outh-So	outh Providers	×			()(140) = 4	
/ultilate	eral donors				1	
).1 If	YES, please indicate which additions	ıl challenges you	face:		TOWNS THE HOUSE OF	

			MOST IMPORTANT	IMPORTANT	LESS IMPORTANT	NOT IMPORTAN
Eligibility				\boxtimes		
Conditionality				\boxtimes		
Predictability						
Understanding proced	ures					1
Difficulties in designing	g "bank	able" projects				
Volume of available fur	nding					
Other						
Please define:		2112				
C. HOW DO YOU	IMPLE	MENT YOUR S	TRATEGY?			
ACTIVITIES CHA	Y (OR ANGED	SINCE 2008?	PONSIBLE FOR	COORDINATIN	NG YOUR AID-F	OR-TRADE
YES [_]		NO ⊠	The second of th	NOT SURE		LICABLE
10.1 If YES, which en	tity or	entities are no	w overseeing y	our aid-for-trac	le activities?	
Ministry of Trade/						
Sector Ministries	er carrier	Specify:		T1 87 3 7 3 7 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2		
Coordinating Ministry	<u> </u>	Specify:				1.70 0 0 0 0 0 0
National Committee		Specify:				
Other		Specify:				
No one				II z JUKN KO		
10.2 If YES, why did to	GUE O	N AID FOR TR	ADE BETWEEN	YOUR GOVERI		
SIGNIFICANTLY [.1	MODERATELY [ARELY/NO		URE 🗌
1.1 If YES, please des	scribe (and exemplify:	The gover.	nment has s opment part	signed a Jos	int

PARTNER CRIMINAL SUCCESSION AND FOR DR

SIGNIFICATORY 🖂	WIODERATELT		RAKELY/NO [NOLZ	URE [_]
join the EIF i in 2010 involv chambers, thin consultations,	describe and exemplify n 2009, the Mini ing representati k-tanks and deve stakeholders de als and put forw	istry of ives of m elopment eliberate	Commerce org ministries, t partners. Du ed on key are	anised two s rade bodies ring these as deterring	seminars and their
13. ARE DONORS	HARMONISING THEIR	R SUPPORT	BETTER THAN PR	IOR TO 2008?	
SIGNIFICANTLY 🛛	MODERATELY [RARELY/NO		URE 🗌
13.1 How often do	donors in your country	coordinate	through:		
		ALWAYS	SOMETIMES	RARELY/NEVER	NOT SURE
Joint needs assessmen	it	\boxtimes			
Co-financing			\boxtimes		
Sector-wide approach	es		\boxtimes		
Joint implementation		\boxtimes			
Common monitoring			\boxtimes		
Joint evaluation			\boxtimes		
Other					\boxtimes
Please elaborate:					
14. HAS THE MON	IITORING OF YOUR AI	D-FOR-TRA	DE PROGRAMME	S IMPROVED SII	NCE 2008?
SIGNIFICANTLY [MODERATELY []	RARELY/NO 🖾	NOT S	JRE 🗌
14.1 If YES, please a	lescribe how you impro	ved the mo	nitoring of aid-for	-trade programn	nes:
	S ALIGNED THEIR SUP RITIES SINCE 2008?	PORT BETT	ER AROUND YOU	R COUNTRY'S TE	RADE-
SIGNIFICANTLY	MODERATELY [RARELY/NO 🛛	NOT SU	JRE 🗌
15.1 If donor suppor	rt is better aligned, plea	ase describe	how this was ach	nieved:	
this trend: Sin received, it is difficult tackle this gap, the go development partners,	rt is less aligned, please nce there is not yet a da to assess whether done vernment of Banglades , which is based on the	itabase deta or support h sh has signo	iling the AfT pilla as been aligned to ed a Joint Coopera	rs where aid has meet national pa ation Strategy (JC	been riorities. To
D. IS AID FOR TRA	ADE WORKING?				

16. HOW DO YOU DEFINE THE SUCCESS OF AID FOR TRADE IN YOUR COUNTRY?

		TVIST -				
		MOST IMPORTANT	IMPORTANT	LESS IMPORTANT	NOT IMPORTANT	
Enhanced understanding of trade			\boxtimes			
Increased profile of trade in development (mainstreaming)	nt strategy	\boxtimes				
More harmonised and aligned aid-for-tr projects and programmes	ade	\boxtimes				
Increased aid-for-trade resources		\boxtimes				
Increased exports						
Increased trade			×			
Diversified exports	Diversified exports					
Increased economic growth		×				
Reduced poverty		×				
Greater environmental sustainability		×				
Greater gender equality			\boxtimes			
Other			×			
Please define:						
17. IN YOUR COUNTRY, DID AID FO	OR TRADE F		E INSIGNIFIC	ANT NOT	NOT	
				SURE	APPLICABLE	
Enhanced understanding of trade			L			
Increased profile of trade in development strategy (mainstreaming)		⊠				
More harmonised and aligned aid-for-trade programmes			⊠			
Increased aid-for-trade resources				×		
Increased exports			☒			
Increased trade						
Diversified exports						
Diversified exports			Ø			
musika mamini may taon dalam			⊠ ⊠			
Increased economic growth						
Increased economic growth Reduced poverty						
Increased economic growth Reduced poverty Greater environmental sustainability Greater gender equality						

Others

18. IN YOUR COUNTR COMPLEMENTAR		IS THE SUCCESS OF A	ID FOR TRADE ON	
VERY IMPORTANT	SOMEWHAT IMPOR		NAME OF THE OWNER OF THE OWNER OF THE OWNER.	OT SURE
18.1 If important, how	do you rate the impo	rtance of the following	complementary pol	icies?
	VERY IMPORTANT	SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT	NOT IMPORTANT	NOT SURE
Fiscal policies				
Monetary policies				
Labour market policies		⊠		
Regulatory environment	\boxtimes			
Governance	\boxtimes			
Other				
Please elaborate: The AfT environment will directly in				nance
19. DO YOU DISCUSS	COMPLEMENTARY (POLICIES IN THE AID FO	OR TRADE DIALOGU	E WITH:
		YES SOMETI	MES NOT	NOT SURE
DAC Donors				
Non DAC donors				
South-South Providers				
Multilateral donors				
Your private sector				
our private sector	Other			

AID-FOR TRADE INITIATIVE TO DATE?

17.1 Please illustrate with examples of both successful and unsuccessful aid-for-trade process,

Please define:

POSITIVE 🛛	NEUTRAL	NEGATIVE [DON'T KNOW
LOSHIAF EX	MEG HATE	(100111111 L	

20.1 What do you see as major challenges or areas for improvements: The foremost challenge is to build a database detailing AfT flows and projects. These two factors should be classified according to the three pillars of the AfT questionnaire to facilitate better monitoring of the process. Also, the development partners and the government of Bangladesh could contemplate on formulating an Aid Management Policy to increase the scope for targeted aid. This will also help to integrate donor's areas of preference with national priorities. Finally, the principles of Paris Declaration should inform the decisions made at both the national and global community level.

- 21. ARE THERE ANY PARTICULAR EXAMPLES OF YOUR AID-FOR-TRADE PROCESSES,
 PROGRAMMES OR PROJECTS THAT HAVE OBTAINED GOOD RESULTS OR BAD THAT YOU
 THINK COULD CONTRIBUTE TO THE DEVELOPMENT OF GOOD PRACTICES?
 BANGLADESH TRADE SUPPORT PROGRAMME (BTSP) WITH THE ASSISTANCE
 OF THE EUROPEAN UNION (EU) WAS DESIGNED TO ASSIST THE MINISTRY OF
 COMMERCE AND ITS ASSOCIATED ORGANIZATIONS TO PREPARE THEM TO HANDLE
 THE AFTERMATH OF THE EXPIRATION OF THE MFA AND ALSO, TO PREPARE
 THEM TO BE WELL CONVERSANT IN WTO RULES AND PROCEDURES. THE ISSUES
 THAT WERE ADDRESSED IN THIS PROGRAMME WERE-STRENGTHENING OF THE
 MINISTRY OF COMMERCE, STRENGTHENING OF THE BANGLADESH TARIFF
 COMMISSION TO MAINTAIN THE TRADE DEFENCE MECHANISM, MAKE THE
 BANGLADESH FOREIGN TRADE INSTITUTE-THE LEADING RESEARCH AND
 TRAINING INSTITUTE FOR TRADE MORE EFFECTIVE AND STRENGTHENING OF
 THE MINISTRY OF SHIPPING. BTSP WAS A SUCCESSFUL PROGRAMME GIVEN ALL
 THE HURDLES IT HAD TO JUMP OR BY-PASS.
- 22. PLEASE FEEL FREE TO RAISE ANY ISSUE THAT HAS NOT BEEN ADDRESSED IN THIS QUESTIONNAIRE AND THAT YOU CONSIDER WORTHWHILE TO RAISE:

 SIMILAR TO THE 'FAIR TRADE' AGENDA, TIME IS RAW TO PROMOTE AN 'EQUITABLE AID' NOTION. BANGLADESH'S REMARKABLE PERFORMANCE IN THE TRADE SECTOR DOES NOT JUSTIFY THE LOW VOLUME OF AID COMING INTO THE COUNTRY. IN THE 4TH ROUND OF THE AFT SURVEY, ATTEMPT SHOULD BE MADE TO DISTINGUISH BETWEEN 'TRADE HUNGRY' AND 'AID DEPENDENT' LDCS IN ORDER TO PROMOTE A MORE INCLUSIVE EIF AGENDA FOR THE FORMER. AFTER ALL, TRADE RELAINCE CANNOT COMPENSATE FOR REMAINING IN AN AID FATIGUE STATE. LDCS SHOULD GET SPECIAL PRIORITY IN THE AFT PROCESS.

PARTICICO INTERQUESTIONINAIRE ON AID FOR TRAD