

DONOR QUESTIONNAIRE ON AID FOR TRADE

This questionnaire is intended to solicit information about the progress made since the 2008 self assessment. It focuses in particular on the outcomes of aid-for-trade strategies and programmes to further knowledge sharing among stakeholders.

For further details or additional forms please visit www.oecd.org/dac/aft/questionnaire or contact the secretariats of the OECD (aft.monitoring@oecd.org) or the WTO (aft.monitoring@wto.org).

COUNTRY: Switzerland

A. YOUR AID-FOR-TRADE STRATEGY

1. HAS YOUR AID-FOR-TRADE STRATEGY CHANGED SINCE 2008?

YES

NO

NOT SURE

NOT APPLICABLE

1.1 If YES, please rate the importance of each of the following changes?

| Greater focus on: | MOST IMPORTANT | IMPORTANT | LESS IMPORTANT | NOT IMPORTANT | NOT SURE |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| • Economic growth | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| • Poverty reduction | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| • Climate change and green growth | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| • Gender equality | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| • Regional integration | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| • Monitoring and evaluating results | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Different geographic focus | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| <i>Please specify:</i> | | | | | |
| Different thematic focus | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| <i>Please specify:</i> | | | | | |
| Phasing out of aid for trade | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Other | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| <i>Please specify:</i> | | | | | |
| 1.2 If YES, please rate the importance of the following driving forces behind these changes: | | | | | |
| | MOST IMPORTANT | IMPORTANT | LESS IMPORTANT | NOT IMPORTANT | NOT SURE |
| The economic crisis | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

| | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Changed priorities in the development strategies of partner countries | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Changed priorities in the development strategies of regional bodies | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Change of national government | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Changes in bilateral trade and investment relations | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Changed priorities in your development cooperation | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| New research, approaches, or aid instruments | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| More focus on triangular co-operation | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Other | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| <p><i>Please specify:</i> Switzerland's AfT-Strategy continues to be integral part of the Economic Development Cooperation Strategy which seeks to promote the integration of partner countries into the world economy by supporting stable macroeconomic conditions, encouraging foreign investment, strengthening trade capacities and by building basic urban infrastructure, with the overall goal of sustainable economic growth and reducing poverty. Hence, trade is one of the main pillars of Switzerland's economic development cooperation.</p> <p>The State Secretariat for Economic Affairs (SECO), as the federal government's competence center for all core economic policy issues, is the agency responsible for Economic Development Cooperation and thus for AfT.</p> <p>A policy paper on AfT has been elaborated in 2010 which contains an overview on Switzerland's AfT intervention (attached).</p> | | | | | |

| | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------|--|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| 2. LOOKING AHEAD TO 2013, IS YOUR GOVERNMENT PLANNING ANY CHANGES TO ITS AID-FOR-TRADE STRATEGY? | | | | | |
| YES <input type="checkbox"/> | NO <input type="checkbox"/> | NOT SURE <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | NOT APPLICABLE <input type="checkbox"/> | | |
| 2.1 If YES, please rate the importance of the changes your government is planning: | | | | | |
| Greater focus on: | MOST IMPORTANT | IMPORTANT | LESS IMPORTANT | NOT IMPORTANT | NOT SURE |
| Economic growth | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Poverty reduction | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Climate change and green growth | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Gender equality | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Regional integration | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Monitoring and evaluating results | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Different geographic focus | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| <i>Please specify:</i> | | | | | |
| Different thematic focus | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| <i>Please specify:</i> | | | | | |
| Phasing out of aid for trade | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

| | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Other | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| <p><i>Please specify: A new framework credit for Switzerland's economic development cooperation covering the period 2013-2016 is currently under preparation. AfT programs fall under this credit. Major changes in the thematic and/or geographic focus are not expected. However, its final content will be known only after approval by the parliament.</i></p> | | | | | |

B. YOUR AID-FOR-TRADE FINANCING

DEMAND

3. HAS THE DEMAND FOR AID FOR TRADE FROM YOUR PARTNER COUNTRIES CHANGED SINCE 2008?

SIGNIFICANTLY INCREASED INCREASED LITTLE/NO CHANGE DECLINED NOT SURE

3.1 *If the demand increased, please describe from which countries and for which type of aid for trade:*

4. HAS THE DEMAND FOR AID FOR TRADE FOR REGIONAL INTEGRATION PROGRAMMES CHANGED SINCE 2008?

SIGNIFICANTLY INCREASED INCREASED LITTLE/NO CHANGE DECLINED NOT SURE

4.1 *If the demand increased, please describe from which regions and for which type of aid for trade:*

RESOURCES

5. HAVE YOUR AID-FOR-TRADE RESOURCES INCREASED SINCE 2008?

YES NO NOT SURE

6. DOES YOUR AGENCY HAVE INDICATIVE FORWARD SPENDING PLANS?

YES NO NOT SURE

6.1 *If YES, do these forward spending plans include estimates for aid for trade?*

YES NO NOT SURE

6.2 If YES, please specify these estimates:

Eventhough AfT is not separately accounted for in forward spending plans, estimates can be made looking at the plans for main areas of trade-related technical cooperation. Aid-for-Trade is expected to remain at current levels in 2011 and 2012 (at around CHF 100 Mio. per year) .

In response to a mandate from Parliament the Federal Council proposed an additional credit to increase the Confederation's Official Development Assistance (ODA) budget from currently 0.47% of its gross national income (GNI) to 0.5% of GNI. If the proposal is approved by parliament, additional funds would be available for AfT due to the fact that parts of the credit would be used for trade-related climate projects.

C. IMPLEMENTING YOUR AID-FOR-TRADE STRATEGY

7. IN HOW MANY OF YOUR POLICY DIALOGUES IS TRADE NOW A REGULAR TOPIC OF DISCUSSION?

| | > 75% | 75% - 50% | 50% - 25% | < 25% | NOT SURE | NOT APPLICABLE |
|---------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| With partner countries | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| With regional communities | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

8. IS THIS AN IMPROVEMENT COMPARED TO 2008?

| | SIGNIFICANT | MODERATE | LITTLE/NONE | NOT SURE | NOT APPLICABLE |
|---------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| With partner countries | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| With regional communities | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

9. IS THE PRIVATE SECTOR INVOLVED IN YOUR DIALOGUE?

| | ALWAYS | SOMETIMES | RARELY/NEVER | NOT SURE |
|---------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| With partner countries | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| With regional communities | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

9.1 Please describe and provide examples of your experience in dialogues that involve the private sector:

According to the subject, SECO maintains a close dialogue with relevant stakeholders, including from the private sector. Often private sector representatives form part of project steering committees and/or are directly involved in project implementation.

In the field of private voluntary sustainability standards, for example, SECO maintains a constant dialogue with agricultural producers in the South and Swiss retailers to be fully informed about main issues, expectations and challenges in the implementation of sustainability standards systems for different actors along the entire value chain.

Switzerland actively supports different multi-stakeholder-dialogues, for example in the field of soft commodities (Roundtable on Sustainable Soy, Roundtable on sustainable biofuels, Common Code for the Coffee Community).

| 10. IS CIVIL SOCIETY INVOLVED IN YOUR DIALOGUE? | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| | ALWAYS | SOMETIMES | RARELY/NEVER | NOT SURE |
| With partner countries | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| With regional communities | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 10.1 Please describe and provide examples of your experience in dialogues that involve civil society: | | | | |
| <i>According to the subject, SECO maintains a close dialogue with relevant stakeholders, including from civil society (see above)</i> | | | | |

| 11. ARE YOU HARMONISING YOUR STRATEGY WITH OTHER DONORS BETTER NOW THAN YOU WERE BEFORE 2008? | | | | |
|--|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|---|
| SIGNIFICANTLY <input type="checkbox"/> | MODERATELY <input type="checkbox"/> | RARELY/NEVER <input type="checkbox"/> | NOT SURE <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | NOT APPLICABLE <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 11.1 If you are harmonising better, how often do you use the following approaches? | | | | |
| | ALWAYS | SOMETIMES | RARELY/NEVER | NOT SURE |
| Joint needs assessment | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Co-financing | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Sector-wide approaches | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Joint implementation | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Common monitoring | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Joint evaluation | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Other | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| <i>Please specify:</i> | | | | |

| 12. HAS ALIGNMENT OF YOUR AID-FOR-TRADE PROGRAMME IMPROVED SINCE 2008? | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| | SIGNIFICANT | MODERATE | LITTLE/ NONE | NOT SURE | NOT APPLICABLE | |
| With partner country priorities | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | |
| With the Enhanced integrated Framework | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | |
| With regional priorities | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | |
| <i>Please elaborate with examples: All activities under Switzerland's aid-for-trade programme are by definition aligned with partner countries policies .</i> | | | | | | |
| 12.1. How many of your aid-for-trade programmes are aligned around trade priorities of? | | | | | | |
| | > 75% | 75% - 50% | 50% - 25% | < 25% | NOT SURE | NOT APPLICABLE |
| Partner countries' development strategies | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| The DTIS Action Matrix (for LDCs) | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Regional organisations development strategies | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

13. HAS THE MONITORING OF YOUR AID-FOR-TRADE PROGRAMMES IMPROVED SINCE 2008?

SIGNIFICANTLY MODERATELY RARELY/NEVER NOT SURE

13.1 If there have been improvements, how often do you:

| | ALWAYS | SOMETIMES | RARELY/NEVER | NOT SURE |
|---|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Use your own monitoring | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Rely on partner countries' monitoring processes | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Use joint monitoring arrangements | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

13.2 Please provide examples and describe your experience with monitoring your aid-for-trade programmes:

The policy on evaluation at the Economic Cooperation and Development Division establishes the principles of a credible and effective evaluation function. These principles include clearly established roles and responsibilities with regard to the conduct of evaluations, reporting by the evaluation officer to an external committee (in order to guarantee its independence), the integration of the evaluation results in the knowledge management process and the transparency of the evaluation results. This policy is applicable to economic development cooperation in general and thus applies also to all aid-for-trade programmes/projects.

All major programmes undergo evaluations. Evaluations can be of three types: Independent evaluations, external evaluations or internal reviews.

An extensive list of evaluations regarding Switzerland's economic development cooperation can be found at: <http://www.seco-cooperation.admin.ch/themen/01033/01130/index.html?lang=en>

From an AfT perspective, the following evaluations are of particular interest:

- Rapport sur l'efficacité - La coopération suisse au développement dans le secteur de l'agriculture 2010 (in french)

- Switzerland's Economic Development Cooperation in the Field of Trade Promotion of Organic Agriculture Products

- Switzerland's Economic Cooperation in the Energy Sector

D. IS YOUR AID FOR TRADE WORKING?

14. DOES YOUR AID-FOR-TRADE STRATEGY DEFINE CLEAR OBJECTIVES?

YES NO NOT SURE NOT APPLICABLE

14.1 If YES, what are the objectives of your aid-for-trade strategy?

| | MOST IMPORTANT | IMPORTANT | LESS IMPORTANT | NOT IMPORTANT |
|---|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Enhanced understanding of the role of trade in economic development (awareness) | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Increased trade profile (mainstreaming) | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Larger aid-for-trade flows | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

| | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Increased exports | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Increased trade | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Export diversification | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Increased economic growth | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Reduced poverty | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Greater environmental sustainability | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Greater gender equality | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Other | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

Please specify:

15. WHAT IS THE SHARE OF YOUR AID FOR TRADE PROGRAMMES THAT CONTAIN QUANTIFIABLE OBJECTIVES?

> 75% 75% - 50% 50% - 25% < 25% NOT SURE NOT APPLICABLE

16. HAS YOUR GOVERNMENT EVALUATED ITS AID-FOR-TRADE STRATEGY, PROGRAMMES OR PROJECTS?

| | YES | NO | NOT SURE |
|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Overall strategy | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Programmes and projects | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Both | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

16.1 If YES, please provide a copy of the(se) evaluation(s) when submitting this questionnaire.

16.2 If NO, is your government planning an evaluation of its:

| | YES | NO | NOT SURE |
|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Overall strategy | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Programmes and projects | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Both | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

16.3 If YES, for which year is the evaluation planned?

| | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
|-------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Overall strategy | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Programmes and projects | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Both | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

17. PLEASE RATE THE IMPORTANCE OF THE FOLLOWING CHALLENGES IN EVALUATING YOUR AID-FOR-TRADE STRATEGY, PROGRAMMES AND PROJECTS:

| | MOST IMPORTANT | IMPORTANT | LESS IMPORTANT | NOT IMPORTANT |
|---|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Difficulty in identifying quantifiable objectives | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Difficulty in obtaining in-country data | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Absence of suitable indicators | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Budgetary constraints | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Ability of in-country staff to collect and report data | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Ability of project partners to collect and report data | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Difficulty of assigning trade outcomes to the programme | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Difficulty in identifying quantifiable objectives | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

18. ARE THERE ANY PARTICULAR EXAMPLES OF YOUR AID-FOR-TRADE PROCESSES, PROGRAMMES OR PROJECTS THAT HAVE OBTAINED GOOD RESULTS THAT YOU THINK COULD CONTRIBUTE TO THE DEVELOPMENT OF GOOD PRACTICES?

Please list and describe:

PLEASE REFER TO THE 5 CASE STORIES SUBMITTED BY SWITZERLAND.

19. DOES YOUR GOVERNMENT CONSIDER IT USEFUL TO MONITOR AID FOR TRADE AT THE GLOBAL LEVEL?

VERY USEFUL

USEFUL

NOT USEFUL

NOT SURE

20. WHAT DO YOU SEE AS MAJOR CHALLENGES OR AREAS FOR IMPROVEMENT IN MONITORING AID FOR TRADE AT THE GLOBAL LEVEL?

Please describe and provide examples:

THE ATTRIBUTION OF TRADE-RELATED PROJECTS/PROGRAMMES TO HIGHER END IMPACTS REMAINS DIFFICULT. HOW CAN DATA BE AGGREGATED SO THAT RESULTS BEYOND THE PROJECT LEVEL (SECTOR LEVEL, NATIONAL LEVEL) CAN BE CAPTURED WITHOUT SIGNIFICANTLY INCREASING THE REPORTING BURDEN FOR DONORS AND PARTNER COUNTRIES ALIKE?

THE CONTRIBUTION OF GLOBAL REVIEWS IN THEIR CURRENT FORM TO THE BROADENING AND STRENGTHENING OF AID-FOR-TRADE SHOULD BE ASSESSED.