



# DONOR QUESTIONNAIRE ON AID FOR TRADE

1	WHAT IS YOUR AID FOR TRADE STRATEGY?						
Q1.1	Do you have an operational Aid for Trade strategy? Does it have a "pro-poor" focus? What are its key objectives and delivery/implementation modes? (Please break down by types of aid: "multilateral contributions" / "trust funds" / "budget support" / "other bilateral")						
year pro	Please describe and exemplify. If applicable, feel free to refer to your 2007 response.  [The Italian Development cooperation has not yet set out an aid for trade strategy, but the new three year program approved in december 2008 mention this area among the priorities. Strategic guideliness for project indentification will be approved shortly]						
Q1.2	If your Aid for Trade Strat focuses.	egy has evolved since	2007,	please describe the changes and/or new			
Please (	describe and exemplify.						
Q1.3	Have you articulated a set of best practices in the design and/or delivery of Aid for Trade?						
	Yes	⊠ No		Not sure/Not applicable			
	If yes, what form does thi	s best practice guidan	ce tak	e?			
Please describe and exemplify.  [ ]							
2	HOW MUCH AID FOR TRADE DO YOU PROVIDE?						
For CRS Reporting Donors							
Q2.1	Does the attached CRS profile accurately reflect the volume of your Aid for Trade?						
	⊠ Yes	]	□ N	0			
	If no, please provide further details of your Aid for Trade activities for 2006 and 2007.						





Please add any data that are missing in their appropriate CRS categories, including those activities that should be considered as Aid for Trade under the category of "Other Trade-related Needs" and describe, if applicable, the method used to identify trade-related activities in the relevant CRS categories. Please also provide any activities that may fall under the new category of "Trade-related Adjustment" for 2006.

[ ..... ]

#### For non-CRS Reporting Donors

## Q2.1 How much Aid for Trade did you provide in each of 2006 and 2007? Please also indicate the volume as percentage share of your total ODA.

Please use the WTO Task Force definition and include estimates of the value of in-kind Aid for Trade such as technical cooperation programmes.

2006 total = euro 26.927.080 of which trade policy € 257.080,00, economic infrastructure € 26.150.000,00; (c) building productive capacity € 520.000,00

2007 total = euro € 192.225.512 of which (a) trade policy and regulations; economic infrastructure (energy, transport & communications € 12.857.354; building productive capacity € 174.929.341 trade-related adjustment € 2.438.815,78 ]

#### For All Donor Agencies

Q2.2 Do you have indicative for	Do you have indicative forward spending plans that include estimates on Aid for Trade?						
Yes	⊠ No	Not sure/Not applicable					
If yes, please provide details of your indicative forward Aid for Trade spending plan.							
Please delineate the plan per Aid fo	r Trade category.						

#### For Donors who had made Aid for Trade pledges

Q2.3 Please describe how you are meeting your pledges? And how much progress in delivering your final pledges do you expect to have made in 2008 and 2009?

Please provide details and evidence in accordance with your accountability mechanism.

[ ..... ]

#### **For Multilateral Donors**

#### Q2.4 Please describe how funding for your Aid for Trade activities is evolving

[e.g. share of activities funded from your agency's core (regular budget) vs. non-core (earmarked) resources, including multi-donor funds; likely trends in these categories].





Please describe.								
Please feel free to provide any other relevant information in relation to the volume of your Aid for Trade.  [Italy will very soon set out an aid for trade strategy: but in 2006, 2007 and also 2008 we had no mainstream approach. This means that is not possible to answer many of the questions.]								
3	IMPLEMENTATION: HOW ARE YOU DELIVERING AID FOR TRADE?							
Mainst	reaming and C	Ownership						
Q3.1	What measu strategy?	ires have you undertak	en to mainstream Aid	for Trade in your ove	erall assistance			
Please describe and exemplify.  [ we do not have yet taken any particular measure]								
Q3.2	In how many of the partner countries you support, are Aid for Trade concerns an important part of your policy dialogue with them (based on your best estimate)?							
	less than 25%	25% to 50%	50% to 75%	above 75%	Not sure /			
Q3.3	How many o	f your country assistantimate)?	ce strategies contain	trade or Aid for Trade	elements (based on			
	less than 25%	25% to 50%	50% to 75%	above 75%	Not sure /			
Q3.4 Has demand for Aid for Trade increased from partner countries since 2005?								
	Significantly	☐ Increased	Little / no change	Declined	Not sure /			
If increased, from which countries / regions, and for which Aid for Trade categories / sectors?								
Please describe and exemplify.  [ ]								





## If increased, what steps have you taken to strengthen your capacity to respond to increasing demand for Aid for Trade from partner countries? Tick the box of all that apply:

	Increased aid resources							
	Strengthened in-house trade expertise							
	Improved training,	tool-kits and/or gu	uidelines fo	or Aid for Tra	de programming			
	Increased awarenes	ss among policy-m	akers and	practitioner	s at the HQ and the	field		
	Strengthened politi	cal commitment						
	Increased coordina	tion among donor	s (e.g. join	t assessmen	t, joint delivery, etc.	.)		
	Please feel free to a	dd other steps you	ı have tak	en [ ]				
[]	Please feel free to provide any other relevant information in relation to mainstreaming and ownership.							
Working with	Others: Harmonisat	ion and Alignmen	t					
	w many of the partnr initiatives?	er countries you s	support, h	ave you cont	ributed to the follo	owing joint		
			<	10%	10-30%	> 30%		
Joint nee	ds assessment							
Joint Aid	for Trade strategy fo	rmulation	1					
Joint Aid	for Trade programm	е						
Pool fund	ling							
Joint mor								
Delegated cooperation								
Q3.6 Do yo	ou have a specific ap	proach to South-S	outh and	or trilateral	cooperation in Aid	for Trade?		
☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not sure/Not applicable					olicable			





	If yes, what are its key elements or particular focuses?								
Please describe and exemplify.  [ If financed with ODA, Aid for trade, has to deliver an impact for human development. Aid for trade									
should				npact for numan develo s with private partners	•				
Q3.7	How much of your Aid for Trade is aligned with your partners' country systems (based on your best estimate)?								
	less than 25%	25% to 50%	50% to 75%	above 75%	Not sure /				
Please	feel free to pro	ovide any other releva	nt information in rela	tion to harmonisation (	and alignment.				
	[ ]								
4 MC	ONITORING F	RESULTS, EVALUAT	ION AND MUTUA	L ACCOUNTABILITY					
Q4.1	Does your Strategy include specific monitoring and evaluation guidelines for Aid for Trade programmes?								
	☐ Specific to Aid for Trade ☐ Generic guidelines								
	If you do have specific guidelines, please provide the details of your Aid for Trade M&E framework.  How often do you review progress towards your strategy objectives? Who do you report to?								
Please describe and exemplify.  [ ]									
Q4.2	Q4.2 Do you regularly monitor the potential trade impact of your aid projects / programmes?								
	Yes		⊠ No	☐ Not sure/No	ot applicable				
If yes, please describe how.									
Please describe and exemplify.  [ ]									
Q4.3	Q4.3 Do you have plans to improve the evaluation of your Aid for Trade programmes?								
Please describe and exemplify.  [ ]									
Q4.4	Have you carried out or do you plan to carry out an impact assessment of your Aid for Trade programmes?								





Yes:	please indicate wher	n: [MM/YYYY]	☐ No	☐ Not sure/N	Not applicable				
-	Q4.5 Do you involve partner country stakeholders in developing measurable objectives/indicators to assess the quality of your Aid for Trade programmes?								
[	Yes	⊠ No		Not sure/No	ot applicable				
If yes, please describe the indicators used.									
	Please describe and exemplify.  [ ]								
	Have you undertaken joint evaluations of your Aid for Trade with your partner country stakeholders?								
[	Yes	⊠ No		☐ Not sure/No	ot applicable				
If yes	s, please describe wh	en and the results o	f the evaluation	•					
Please describ	e and exemplify.								
Please feel free to provide any other relevant information in relation to monitoring, evaluation and mutual accountability.  [ ]									
5 REG	IONAL DIMENSIC	)N							
<b>Q5.1</b> How	important is the reg	ional dimension in y	our Aid for Trad	e strategy?					
Essenti elemer			nor [	Not present	Not sure /				
	If essential or important, please describe how your Aid for Trade strategy addresses regional challenges.								
Please describe and exemplify.  [ ]									
Q5.2 Which of the following factors are important for determining whether or not to support particular regions or regional programmes? Please list in the order of importance.									
	Relevance to ongoi	ng regional trade agr	eements / nego	iations					
	Regional proximity	/ support to neighbo	uring regional e	conomic integration	on processes				
	Cultural, linguistic or historical ties with the region								
	Existence of a viable	e counterpart at regi	onal level						





	Request for assistance from a regional body							
Availability of a clearly defined regions			al development strategy					
Geographical concentration of donor			activities					
	Other,	, please describe [	]					
Q5.3 By h	ow muc	h has the volume of yo	our regio	onal Aid for Tra	ade increased si	ince 2005	i?	
Decline	Declined By less By 5 to than 5% 15%				By 15 to 30%		More than 30%	
Q5.4 In wi	hich ass	istance categories are	you par	ticularly active	at regional lev	el?		
				Frequently	Occasionally	Rarely	Not sure / Not applicable	
Training (tra	ade neg	otiations/WTO rules)						
Trade facilit	ation							
Developme	nt of cro	oss-border infrastructu	re					
Capacity bu	ilding o	f regional organisations	S					
Other, pleas	e descri	ibe and exemplify [	]					
		e most important chal the order of importan	_	n implementin	g regional Aid f	or Trade?	?	
	Lack o	of (or weak) articulated	demand	ds for regional	Aid for Trade			
	Lack o	of coherence between r	national	and regional p	riorities			
	Lack o	of credible lending auth	orities a	t regional leve	I			
	Lack o	of effective coordination	n at regi	onal level				
	Difficulties of monitoring and evaluating results at regional level							
	Lack of credible mutual accountability mechanisms at regional level							
Other, please describe and exemplify [It is not possible to answer for a country that has not yet set out an aid for trade strategy]								
Q5.6 Has the demand for regional Aid for Trade increased since 2005?								
	☐ Significantly increased     ☐ Little / no change     ☐ Declined Declined Not sure / Not applicable							
If yes, in which regions and for which activities has it increased the most?								
	Please describe and exemplify.							





Please feel free to provide any other relevant information in relation to regional Aid for Trade.

[ ..... ]





Responses should be sent before **Friday 6 February 2009** to the following address:

### AFT.MONITORING@OECD.ORG

Please also send any queries to this mailbox.